8

9

11

12

14

15

17

22

BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

GERALD J. TAITAGUE, M.D.

Holder of License No. 26182 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona. Case Nos. MD-07-1052A MD-08-0121A MD-08-0333A

CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR DECREE OF CENSURE AND PROBATION

CONSENT AGREEMENT

By mutual agreement and understanding, between the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") and Gerald J. Taitague, M.D. ("Respondent"), the parties agree to the following disposition of this matter.

- Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and the stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement").
 Respondent acknowledges that he has the right to consult with legal counsel regarding this matter.
- 2. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights to a hearing or judicial review in state or federal court on the matters alleged, or to challenge this Consent Agreement in its entirety as issued by the Board, and waives any other cause of action related thereto or arising from said Consent Agreement.
- 3. This Consent Agreement is not effective until approved by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- 4. The Board may adopt this Consent Agreement or any part thereof. This Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against Respondent.

- 5. This Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. The acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this State from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 6. All admissions made by Respondent are solely for final disposition of this matter and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, said admissions by Respondent are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government regulatory agency proceeding, civil or criminal court proceeding, in the State of Arizona or any other state or federal court.
- 7. Upon signing this agreement, and returning this document (or a copy thereof) to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke the acceptance of the Consent Agreement. Respondent may not make any modifications to the document. Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties.
- 8. If the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, Respondent will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense.
- 9. This Consent Agreement, once approved and signed, is a public record that will be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and will be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank and to the Arizona Medical Board's website.

- 10. If any part of the Consent Agreement is later declared void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement in its entirety shall remain in force and effect.
- 11. Any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. § § 32-1401(27)(r) ("[v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under this chapter") and 32-1451.
 - 12. Respondent has read and understands the conditions of probation.

GERALD J. TAITAGUE, M.D.

DATED: 3 APRIL 09

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number 26182 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-07-1052A after receiving a complaint alleging Respondent attempted to fill controlled substances for patients JP and DP. Subsequently, the Board received complaints for case numbers MD-08-0121A and MD-08-0333A regarding Respondent's care and treatment of a forty-five year-old female ("TW") and male patient ("RM").
- 4. JP established care with Respondent for orthopedic injuries sustained in a car accident and reported being treated with opioids for extremity and lower back pain. There was no indication that Respondent obtained past medical records, diagnostic studies, or referred JP to a specialist for his chronic pain. During the Board's investigation, Staff obtained pharmacy surveys that showed Respondent provided JP with several prescriptions for narcotics with early refills and dose escalations without any therapeutic indications. There also was no documented physical examination to address findings of chronic pain. Further, there was no indication that Respondent recognized any problems suggestive of noncompliance and aberrant drug seeking behavior.
- 5. DP was treated by Respondent for complaints of chronic orthopedic pain and anxiety with opioids from November 2006 through November 2007. Respondent provided narcotic prescriptions with early refills and dose escalations without any therapeutic indications. There was no indication that Respondent communicated with DP's current prescribing physician that he had assumed prescribing responsibility. Respondent obtained DP's magnetic resonance imaging report of January 17, 2005 that showed

degenerative changes at L4 – L5; however, there was no evidence that Respondent obtained other past medical records.

- 6. Respondent treated TW from April 2006 through February 2008 with shorting acting opioids for pelvic and lower back pain. There was no indication that Respondent obtained past medical records, diagnostic studies, or communicated with TW's current prescribing physician. Subsequently, TW underwent a total hysterectomy with a Bilateral Salpingo-Oophorectomy performed by a gynecologist. Respondent treated TW's persistent postoperative abdominal pain with opioids for eighteen months without requiring input or further evaluation by the gynecologist. Additionally, Respondent documented repeated prescriptions for Percocet with early refills and dose escalations without any therapeutic indications. TW subsequently was admitted for inpatient opioid detoxification.
- 7. Respondent treated RM from November 2006 through April 2008 with escalating dosages of multiple short acting opioids with frequent early refills for lower back pain. Again, there was no indication that Respondent obtained past medical records, diagnostic studies, or communicated with RM's current prescribing physician. Respondent ordered a urine drug screen which was consistent with noncompliance as there were controlled substances present that were not prescribed by Respondent. There was no indication that Respondent followed up on the results.
- 8. The standard of care when evaluating and prescribing long term opioids for chronic pain patients requires a physician to obtain consultations and diagnostic studies; to provide non-opioid medications, a multidisciplinary approach, and close monitoring as indicated; and to recognize behavior suggestive of noncompliance and/or aberrant drug seeking. The standard of care also requires a physician to inform the current prescribing physician of the plan to assume responsibility for future ongoing controlled substance prescribing.

- 9. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he did not obtain consultations or diagnostic studies for the patients; he did not provide non-opioid medications, a multidisciplinary approach or close monitoring as indicated; and he did not recognize behavior suggestive of noncompliance or aberrant drug seeking. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he did not communicate with the patient's current prescribing physician that he had assumed the prescribing responsibility.
- 10. JP may have had cervical spine pathology, orthopedic joint pathology, or reflex sympathetic dystrophy that would have improved if properly addressed by an appropriate specialist. DP may have continued to obtain duplicative prescriptions for controlled substances with associated harm to self and others. All the patients' perpetuation of aberrant drug seeking behavior could have resulted in addiction and/or diversion and in the case of TW it resulted in inpatient opioid detoxification. There was also concern of hepatotoxicity, overdose, aspiration, respiratory depression, brain damage, and death.
- 11. A physician is required to maintain adequate legible medical records containing, at a minimum, sufficient information to identify the patient, support the diagnosis, justify the treatment, accurately document the results, indicate advice and cautionary warnings provided to the patient and provide sufficient information for another practitioner to assume continuity of the patient's care at any point in the course of treatment. A.R.S. § 32-1401(2). Respondent's records were inadequate because there was no documented physical examination prior to prescribing medications and no therapeutic indication for the early refills and dose escalations.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

 The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.

2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(e) ("[f]ailing or refusing to maintain adequate records on a patient."), A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(q) ("[a]ny conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.") and A.R.S. § 32-1401 (27)(II) ("[c]onduct that the board determines is gross negligence, repeated negligence or negligence resulting in harm to or the death of a patient.").

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondent is issued a Decree of Censure.
- 2. Respondent is placed on probation for **ten years** with the following terms and conditions:

a. Practice Restriction

Respondent shall not prescribe, administer, or dispense any controlled substances.

b. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state, federal and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in Arizona, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

c. Tolling

In the event Respondent should leave Arizona to reside or practice outside the State or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in Arizona, Respondent shall notify the Executive Director in writing within ten days of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within Arizona. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days during which Respondent is not engaging in the practice of

medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside Arizona or of non-practice within Arizona, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

- d. After five years, Respondent may petition to terminate the probation. The Executive Director may require any combination of staff approved physical examination, psychiatric and/or psychological evaluations, or successful passage of the Special Purpose Licensing Examination or other competency examination/evaluation or interview she finds necessary to assist her in determining whether to terminate the probation. Respondent is responsible for all expenses related to any evaluation.
 - 3. This Order is the final disposition of case number MD-07-1052A.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE	this 4th day of June , 2009.
SEAL)	ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD
1913 OF ARIZORITA	By Lisa S. Wynn

Executive Director

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 440 day of June, 2009 with:

Arizona Medical Board 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale, AZ 85258

EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed this 4th day of June, 2009 to:

Gerald J. Taitague, M.D. Address of Record

Investigational Review